

HARDY SPACE ESTIMATES FOR LITTLEWOOD-PALEY-STEIN SQUARE FUNCTIONS AND CALDERÓN-ZYGMUND OPERATORS

JAROD HART AND GUOZHEN LU

ABSTRACT. In this work, we give new sufficient conditions for Littlewood-Paley-Stein square function and necessary and sufficient conditions for a Calderón-Zygmund operator to be bounded on Hardy spaces H^p with indices smaller than 1. New Carleson measure type conditions are defined for Littlewood-Paley-Stein operators, and the authors show that they are sufficient for the associated square function to be bounded from H^p into L^p . New polynomial growth BMO conditions are also introduced for Calderón-Zygmund operators. These results are applied to prove that Bony paraproducts can be constructed such that they are bounded on Hardy spaces with exponents ranging all the way down to zero.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this work is to prove new Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounds for Littlewood-Paley-Stein square functions and Calderón-Zygmund integral operators where the index p is allowed to be small. Part of the novelty of the work here is that it draws an explicit connection between Calderón-Zygmund operators and Littlewood-Paley-Stein square functions.

It is well known by now that one way to define the real Hardy spaces H^p for $0 < p < \infty$ is by using certain convolution-type Littlewood-Paley-Stein square functions. This has been explored by many mathematicians; some of the fundamental developments of this idea can be found in the work of Stein [20, 21] and Fefferman and Stein [10]. In particular, Fefferman and Stein proved that one can define $H^p = H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ using square functions of the form

$$S_Q f(x) = \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |Q_k f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

associated to integral operators $Q_k f = \psi_k * f$ for an appropriate choice of Schwartz function $\psi \in \mathcal{S}$, where $\psi_k(x) = 2^{kn} \psi(2^k x)$. There are also results in the direction of determining the most general classes of such convolution operators that can be used to define Hardy spaces, or more generally Triebel-Lizorkin spaces; see for example the work of Bui, Paluszynski, and Taibelson [4, 5]. Generalized classes of non-convolution type Littlewood-Paley-Stein square function operators were studied, for example, in [8, 9, 19]. Although all of the bounds in these articles are relegated to Lebesgue spaces with index $p \in (1, \infty)$, which for this range of indices coincide with Hardy spaces.

Date: May 12, 2015.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B20, 42B25, 42B30.

Key words and phrases. Square Function, Littlewood-Paley-Stein, Bilinear, Calderón-Zygmund Operators.

Hart was partially supported by an AMS-Simons Travel Grant. Lu was supported by NSF grant #DMS1301595.

In the current work, we consider a general class of non-convolution type Littlewood-Paley-Stein square function operators acting on Hardy spaces with indices smaller than 1.

Before we state our Hardy space estimates for Littlewood-Paley-Stein square functions, we define our classes of Littlewood-Paley-Stein square function operators. Given kernel functions $\lambda_k : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\Lambda_k f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_k(x, y) f(y) dy$$

for appropriate functions $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Define the square function associated to $\{\Lambda_k\}$ by

$$S_\Lambda f(x) = \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\Lambda_k f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We say that a collection of operators Λ_k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a collection of Littlewood-Paley-Stein operators with decay N and smoothness $L + \delta$, written $\{\Lambda_k\} \in LPSO(N, L + \delta)$, for $N > 0$, an integer $L \geq 0$ and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, if there exists a constant C such that

$$(1.1) \quad |\lambda_k(x, y)| \leq C \Phi_k^N(x - y)$$

$$(1.2) \quad |D_1^\alpha \lambda_k(x, y)| \leq C 2^{|\alpha|k} \Phi_k^N(x - y) \text{ for all } |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \leq L$$

$$(1.3) \quad |D_1^\alpha \lambda_k(x, y) - D_1^\alpha \lambda_k(x, y')| \leq C |y - y'|^\delta 2^{k(L+\delta)} (\Phi_k^N(x - y) + \Phi_k^N(x - y')) \text{ for all } |\alpha| = L.$$

Here we use the notation $\Phi_k^N(x) = 2^{kn}(1 + 2^k|x|)^{-N}$ for $N > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also use the notation $D_0^\alpha F(x, y) = \partial_x^\alpha F(x, y)$ and $D_1^\alpha F(x, y) = \partial_y^\alpha F(x, y)$ for $F : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. It can easily be shown that $LPSO(N, L + \delta) \subset LPSO(N', L + \delta')$ for all $0 < \delta' \leq \delta \leq 1$ and $0 < N' \leq N$.

Our goal in studying square functions of the form S_Λ is to prove boundedness properties from H^p into L^p . Note that it is not reasonable to expect S_Λ to be bounded from H^p into H^p when $0 < p \leq 1$ since $S_\Lambda f \geq 0$. It is also not hard to see that the condition $\{\Lambda_k\} \in LPSO(N, L + \delta)$ alone, for any $N > 0$, $L \geq 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, is not sufficient to guarantee that S_Λ to be bounded from H^p into L^p for any $0 < p < \infty$. In fact, this is not true even in the convolution setting. This can be seen by taking $\lambda_k(x, y) = \phi_k(x - y)$ for some $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ with non-zero integral, where $\phi_k(x) = 2^{kn}\phi(2^k x)$. The square function S_Λ associated to this convolution operator is not bounded from H^p into L^p for any $0 < p < \infty$. Hence some additional conditions are required for Λ_k in order to assure H^p to L^p bounds. For $1 < p < \infty$, this problem was solved in terms of Carleson measure conditions on $\Lambda_k 1(x)$; see for example [6, 17, 7, 19]. We give sufficient conditions for such bounds when the index p is allowed to range smaller than 1. The additional cancellation conditions we impose on Λ_k involve generalized moments for non-convolution operators Λ_k . Define the moment function $[[\Lambda_k]]_\beta(x)$ by the following. Given $\{\Lambda_k\} \in LPSO(N, L + \delta)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $|\alpha| < N - n$

$$[[\Lambda_k]]_\alpha(x) = 2^{k|\alpha|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_k(x, y) (x - y)^\alpha dy$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It is worth noting that $[[\Lambda_k]]_0(x) = \Lambda_k 1(x)$, which is a quantity that is closely related to L^2 bounds for S_Λ , see for example [8, 9, 19]. We use these moment functions to provide sufficient conditions of H^p to L^p bounds for S_Λ in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\{\Lambda_k\} \in LPSO(N, L + \delta)$, where $N = n + 2L + 2\delta$ for some integer $L \geq 0$ and $0 < \delta \leq 1$. If*

$$(1.4) \quad d\mu_\alpha(x, t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |[(\Lambda_k)]_\alpha(x)|^2 \delta_{t=2^{-k}} dx$$

is a Carleson measure for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq L$, then S_Λ can be extended to a bounded operator from H^p into L^p for all $\frac{n}{n+L+\delta} < p \leq 1$.

Here we say that a non-negative measure $d\mu(x, t)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} = \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ is a Carleson measure if there exists $C > 0$ such that $d\mu(Q \times (0, \ell(Q))) \leq C|Q|$ for all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\ell(Q)$ denotes the sidelength of Q . We only prove a sufficient condition here for boundedness of S_Λ from H^p into L^p , but it is reasonable to expect that the Carleson measure conditions in (1.4) are also necessary. We hope to resolve this issue entirely with a full necessary and sufficient condition in future work. We also provide a quick corollary of Theorem 1.1 to the type of operators studied in [8, 9, 19], among others.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $\{\Lambda_k\} \in LPSO(n + 2\delta, \delta)$ and $0 < \delta \leq 1$. If S_Λ is bounded on L^2 , then S_Λ extends to a bounded operator from H^p into L^p for all $\frac{n}{n+\delta} < p \leq 1$.*

Corollary 1.2 easily follows from Theorem 1.1 and the following observation. If S_Λ is bounded on L^2 , then $d\mu_0(x, t)$, as defined in (1.4) for $\alpha = 0$, is a Carleson measure; see [6, 17] for proof of this observation.

Another purpose of this work is to prove a characterization of Hardy space bounds for Calderón-Zygmund operators. Some of the earliest development of singular integral operators on Hardy spaces is due to Stein and Weiss [22], Stein [21], and Fefferman and Stein [10]. It was proved by Fefferman and Stein [10] that if T is a convolution-type singular integral operator that is bounded on L^2 , then T is bounded on H^p for $p_0 < p < \infty$ where $0 \leq p_0 < 1$ depends on the regularity of the kernel of T . This situation is considerably more complicated in the non-convolution setting, which can be observed in the $T1$ type theorems in [8, 23, 13, 11, 1]. In the 1980's David and Journé proved the celebrated $T1$ theorem that provided necessary and sufficient conditions for Lebesgue space L^p bounds for non-convolution Calderón-Zygmund operators when $1 < p < \infty$, which coincides with the Hardy space bounds for this range of indices. In [23, 13, 11], the authors give sufficient $T1$ type conditions for a Calderón-Zygmund operator to be bounded on H^p for $0 < p \leq 1$. The conditions in [23, 13, 11] are too strong though, in the sense that they are not necessary for Hardy space bounds. The fact that the conditions in [23, 13, 11] are not necessary can be seen by the full necessary and sufficient conditions provided in [1] when $p_0 < p \leq 1$, where $p_0 = \frac{n}{n+\gamma}$ and γ is a regularity parameter for the kernel of T . This can also be seen by considering the Bony paraproduct, which we prove (in Theorem 1.5) is bounded on H^p for $p_0 < p \leq 1$ and p_0 can be taken arbitrarily close to zero. One of the main purposes of this article is to prove a full necessary and sufficient $T1$ type theorem for Calderón-Zygmund operators on Hardy spaces (Theorem 1.6), thereby generalizing results pertaining to H^p bounds from [10, 1, 23, 11, 13].

We say that a continuous linear operator T from \mathcal{S} into \mathcal{S}' is a Calderón-Zygmund operator with smoothness $M + \gamma$, for any integer $M \geq 0$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, if T has function kernel $K : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\langle Tf, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} K(x, y) f(y) g(x) dy dx$$

whenever $f, g \in C_0^\infty = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ have disjoint support, and there is a constant $C > 0$ such that the kernel function K satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |D_0^\alpha D_1^\beta K(x, y)| &\leq \frac{C}{|x - y|^{n+|\alpha|+|\beta|}} \text{ for all } |\alpha|, |\beta| \leq M, \\ |D_0^\alpha D_1^\beta K(x, y) - D_0^\alpha D_1^\beta K(x', y)| &\leq \frac{C|x - x'|^\gamma}{|x - y|^{n+M+|\beta|+\gamma}} \text{ for } |\beta| \leq |\alpha| = M, |x - x'| < |x - y|/2, \\ |D_0^\alpha D_1^\beta K(x, y) - D_0^\alpha D_1^\beta K(x, y')| &\leq \frac{C|y - y'|^\gamma}{|x - y|^{n+|\alpha|+M+\gamma}} \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq |\beta| = M, |y - y'| < |x - y|/2. \end{aligned}$$

We will also define moment distributions for an operator $T \in CZO(M + \gamma)$, but we require some notation first. For an integer $M \geq 0$, define the collections of smooth functions of polynomial growth $O_M = O_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and of smooth compactly supported function with vanishing moments $\mathcal{D}_M = \mathcal{D}_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} O_M &= \left\{ f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) : \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \cdot (1 + |x|)^{-M} < \infty \right\} \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{D}_M &= \left\{ f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) x^\alpha dx = 0 \text{ for all } |\alpha| \leq M \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be supported in $B(0, 2)$, $\eta(x) = 1$ for $x \in B(0, 1)$, and $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$. Define for $R > 0$, $\eta_R(x) = \eta(x/R)$. We reserve this notation for η and η_R throughout. In [23, 13, 11], the authors define Tf for $f \in O_M$ where T is a linear singular integral operator. We give an equivalent definition to the ones in [23, 13, 11]. Let T be a $CZO(M + \gamma)$ and $f \in O_M$ for some integer $M \geq 0$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$. For $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, choose $R_0 \geq 1$ minimal so that $\text{supp}(\psi) \subset \overline{B(0, R_0/4)}$, and define

$$\langle Tf, \psi \rangle = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \langle T(\eta_R f), \psi \rangle - \sum_{|\beta| \leq M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{D_0^\beta K(0, y)}{\beta!} x^\beta (\eta_R(y) - \eta_{R_0}(y)) f(y) \psi(x) dy dx.$$

This limit exists based on the kernel representation and kernel properties for $T \in CZO(M + \gamma)$ and is independent of the choice of η , see [23, 13, 11] for proof of this fact. The choice of R_0 here is not of consequence as long as R_0 is large enough so that $\text{supp}(\psi) \subset \overline{B(0, R_0/4)}$; we choose it minimal to make this definition precise. The definition of $\langle Tf, \psi \rangle$ depends on ψ here through the support properties of $\psi \in C_0^\infty$, but for $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_M$, it follows that $\langle Tf, \psi \rangle = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \langle T(\eta_R f), \psi \rangle$ since the integral term above vanishes for such ψ . Now we define the moment distribution $[[T]]_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}'_M$ for $T \in CZO(M + \gamma)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq M$ by

$$\langle [[T]]_\alpha, \psi \rangle = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \mathcal{K}(u, y) \psi(u) \eta_R(y) (u - y)^\alpha dy du$$

for $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{|\alpha|}$, where $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ is the distribution kernel of T . We abuse notation here in that the integral in this definition is not necessarily a measure theoretic integral; rather, it is the dual pairing between elements of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Throughout this work, we will use \mathcal{K} to denote distributional kernels and K to denote function kernels for Calderón-Zygmund operators. When we write \mathcal{K} in an integral over \mathbb{R}^{2n} , the integral is understood to be a the pairing of $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ with an element of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. It is not hard to show that this definition is well-defined by techniques from [23, 13, 11]. This distributional moment associated to T generalizes the notion of $T1$ as used

in [8] in the sense that $\langle [[T]]_0, \psi \rangle = \langle T1, \psi \rangle$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_0$ and hence $[[T]]_0 = T1$. We will also use a generalized notion of BMO here to extend the cancellation conditions $T1, T^*1 \in BMO$, which were used in the $T1$ theorem from [8]. Let $M \geq 0$ be an integer and $F \in \mathcal{D}'_M/\mathcal{P}$, that is \mathcal{D}'_M modulo polynomials. We say that $F \in BMO_M$ if

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2Mk} |Q_k F(x)|^2 dx \delta_{t=2^{-k}}$$

is a Carleson measure for any $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_M$, where $Q_k f = \psi_k * f$ and $\psi_k(x) = 2^{kn} \psi(2^k x)$. This definition agrees with the classical definition of BMO . That is, for $F \in BMO_0$,

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |Q_k F(x)|^2 dx \delta_{t=2^{-k}}$$

is a Carleson measure, and hence $F \in BMO$ by the BMO characterization in terms of Carleson measures in [6, 17]. A similar polynomial growth BMO_M was defined by Youssfi [24]. We use this polynomial growth BMO_M to quantify our cancellation conditions for operators $T \in CZO(M + \gamma)$ in the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $T \in CZO(M + \gamma)$ be bounded on L^2 and define $L = \lfloor M/2 \rfloor$ and $\delta = (M - 2L + \gamma)/2$. If $T^*(x^\alpha) = 0$ in \mathcal{D}'_M for all $|\alpha| \leq L$ and $[[T]]_\alpha \in BMO_{|\alpha|}$ for all $|\alpha| \leq L$, then T extends to a bounded operator on H^p for $\frac{n}{n+L+\delta} < p \leq 1$.*

Recall here that the operator T^* is defined from \mathcal{S} into \mathcal{S}' via $\langle T^* f, g \rangle = \langle Tg, f \rangle$, and the definition of T^* is extended to an operator from \mathcal{O}_M to \mathcal{D}'_M by the methods discussed above. Note also that this is not a full necessary and sufficient theorem for Hardy space bounds as described above. This theorem will be used to prove the boundedness of certain paraproduct operators, which in turn allow us to prove the full necessary and sufficient theorem, which is stated in Theorem 1.6 at the end of this section.

The choice of L and δ here are such that $L \geq 0$ is an integer, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, and $2(L + \delta) = M + \gamma$. It is also not hard to see that $T^*(x^\alpha) = 0$ for all $|\alpha| \leq L$ if and only if $[[T^*]]_\alpha = 0$ for all $|\alpha| \leq L$. We prove Theorem 1.6 by decomposing an operator $T \in CZO(M + \gamma)$ into a collection of operators $\{\Lambda_k\} \in LPSO(n + 2L + 2\delta, L + \delta')$ for $0 < \delta' < \delta$ and applying Theorem 1.1. This decomposition of T into a collection of Littlewood-Paley-Stein operators is stated precisely in the next theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $T \in CZO(M + \gamma)$ for some integer $M \geq 1$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ be bounded on L^2 , and fix $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_M$. Also let $L = \lfloor M/2 \rfloor$ and $\delta = (M - 2L + \gamma)/2$. If $T^*(x^\alpha) = 0$ in \mathcal{D}'_M for all $|\alpha| \leq L$, then $\{\Lambda_k\} \in LPSO(n + 2L + 2\delta, L + \delta')$ for all $0 < \delta' < \delta$, where $\Lambda_k = Q_k T$ and $Q_k f(x) = \psi_k * f(x)$. Furthermore, for $\frac{n}{n+L+\delta} < p \leq 1$, T extends to a bounded operator on H^p if and only if S_Λ extends to a bounded operator from H^p into L^p .*

Throughout, we write $L^p = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H^p = H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $0 < p < \infty$. We will also apply Theorem 1.6 to Bony paraproducts operator, which were originally defined in [3] and famously applied in the $T1$ theorem [8] (see also [2]). Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{L+1}$ for some $L \geq 0$ and $\phi \in C_0^\infty$. Define $Q_k f = \psi_k * f$ and $P_k f = \phi_k * f$. For $\beta \in BMO$, define

$$(1.5) \quad \Pi_\beta f(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_j (Q_j \beta \cdot P_j f)(x).$$

It easily follows that $\Pi_\beta \in CZO(M+\gamma)$ for all $M \geq 0$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$. It is well known that $\Pi_\beta^*(1) = 0$, and if one selects ψ and ϕ appropriately, it also follows that $\Pi_\beta(1) = \beta$ in BMO as well. We are not interested in an exact identification of $\Pi_\beta(1)$ in this work, so we don't worry about the extra conditions that should be imposed on ψ and ϕ to assure that $\Pi_\beta(1) = \beta$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let Π_β be as in (1.5) for $\beta \in BMO$, $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{L+1}$, and $\phi \in C_0^\infty$. Then Π_β is bounded on H^p for all $\frac{n}{n+L+1} < p \leq 1$.*

By Theorem 1.5 it is possible to construct Π_β so that it is bounded on H^p for $p > 0$ arbitrarily small by choosing $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{L+1}$ for L sufficiently large. It should be noted that some Hardy space estimates for a variant of the Bony paraproduct in (1.5) were proved in [15]. Although we use a different construction of the paraproduct, so we will prove Theorem 1.5 here as well. Finally, we state the first necessary and sufficient boundedness theorem for Calderón-Zygmund operators on Hardy spaces.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $T \in CZO(M+\gamma)$ be bounded on L^2 and define $L = \lfloor M/2 \rfloor$ and $\delta = (M - 2L + \gamma)/2$. Then $T^*(x^\alpha) = 0$ in \mathcal{D}'_M for all $|\alpha| \leq L$ if and only if T extends to a bounded operator on H^p for $\frac{n}{n+L+\delta} < p \leq 1$.*

Note that Theorem 1.3 is made obsolete by Theorem 1.6. We state Theorem 1.3 separately since we will use it to prove the stronger Theorem 1.6. More precisely, we will prove Theorem 1.3, apply Theorem 1.3 to prove H^p bounds for Bony paraproducts in Theorem 1.5, and finally we will prove Theorem 1.6 with the help of Theorem 1.5 and a result from [23, 11, 13]. In this way, Theorems 1.3, 1.5, and 1.6 are proved in that order, with each depending on the previous results.

The rest of the article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish some notation and preliminary results. Section 3 is dedicated to Littlewood-Paley-Stein square functions and proving Theorem 1.1. In section 4, we prove the singular integral operator results in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. In section 5, we apply Theorem 1.6 to the Bony paraproducts to prove Theorem 1.5. In the last section, we use Theorem 1.5 and a result from [23, 11, 13] to prove Theorem 1.6.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We use the notation $A \lesssim B$ to mean that $A \leq CB$ for some constant C . The constant C is allowed to depend on the ambient dimension, smoothness and decay parameters of our operators, indices of function spaces etc.; in context, the dependence of the constants is clear. Recall that we define $\Phi_k^N(x) = 2^{kn}(1 + 2^k|x|)^{-N}$. It is easy to verify that $\Phi_k^N(x) \leq \Phi_k^{\tilde{N}}(x)$ for $\tilde{N} \leq N$, and it is well known that

$$\Phi_j^N * \Phi_k^N(x) \lesssim \Phi_{\min(j,k)}^N(x).$$

We will use these inequalities many times throughout this work without specifically referring to them.

We will use the following Frazier and Jawerth type discrete Calderón reproducing formula [12] (see also [16] for a multiparameter formulation of this reproducing formula): there exist $\phi_j, \tilde{\phi}_j \in \mathcal{S}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with infinite vanishing moment such that

$$(2.1) \quad f(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell(Q)=2^{-(j+N_0)}} |Q| \phi_j(x - c_Q) \tilde{\phi}_j * f(c_Q) \text{ in } L^2$$

for $f \in L^2$. The summation in Q here is over all dyadic cubes with side length $\ell(Q) = 2^{-(j+N_0)}$, where N_0 is some large constant, and c_Q denotes the center of cube Q . Throughout this paper, we reserve the notation ϕ_j and $\tilde{\phi}_j$ for the operators constructed in this discrete Calderón decomposition.

We will also use a more traditional formulation of Calderón's reproducing formula: fix $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B(0,1))$ with integral 1 such that

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_k f = f \text{ in } L^2$$

for $f \in L^2$, where $\psi(x) = 2^n \varphi(2x) - \varphi(x)$, $\psi_k(x) = 2^{kn} \psi(2^k x)$, and $Q_k f = \psi_k * f$. Furthermore, we can assume that ψ has an arbitrarily large, but fixed, number of vanishing moments. Again we will reserve the notation ψ_k and Q_k for convolution operators with convolution kernels in \mathcal{D}_M for some $M \geq 0$. For this work, the most important difference between the functions ψ and ϕ is that ψ is compactly supported, while ϕ is necessarily not compactly supported. We will use formula (2.1) to decompose square functions and formula (2.2) to decompose Calderón-Zygmund operators.

There are many equivalent definitions of the real Hardy spaces $H^p = H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $0 < p < \infty$. We use the following one. Define the non-tangential maximal function

$$\mathcal{N}^\varphi f(x) = \sup_{t>0} \sup_{|x-y| \leq t} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t^{-n} \varphi(t^{-1}(y-u)) * f(u) du \right|,$$

where $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ with non-zero integral. It was proved by Fefferman and Stein in [10] that one can define $\|f\|_{H^p} = \|\mathcal{N}^\varphi f\|_{L^p}$ to obtain the classical real Hardy spaces H^p for $0 < p < \infty$. It was also proved in [10] that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ and $f \in H^p$ for $0 < p < \infty$,

$$\left\| \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\varphi_k * f| \right\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^p}.$$

We will use a number of equivalent semi-norms for H^p . Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_M$ for some integer $M > n(1/p - 1)$, and let ψ_k and Q_k be as above, satisfying (2.2). For $f \in \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{P}$ (tempered distributions modulo polynomials), $f \in H^p$ if and only if

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |Q_k f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} < \infty,$$

and this quantity is comparable to $\|f\|_{H^p}$. The space H^p can also be characterized by the operators ϕ_j and $\tilde{\phi}_j$ from the discrete Littlewood-Paley-Stein decomposition in (2.1). This characterization is given by the following, which can be found in [16, 18]. Given $0 < p < \infty$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell(Q)=2^{-(j+N_0)}} |\tilde{\phi}_j * f(c_Q)|^2 \chi_Q \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} \approx \|f\|_{H^p},$$

where $\chi_E(x) = 1$ for $x \in E$ and $\chi_E(x) = 0$ for $x \notin E$ for a subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The summation again is indexed by all dyadic cubes Q with side length $\ell(Q) = 2^{-(j+N_0)}$. For a continuous function

$f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $0 < r < \infty$, define

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{M}_j^r f(x) = \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left[\left(\sum_{\ell(Q)=2^{-(j+N_0)}} f(c_Q) \chi_Q \right)^r \right] (x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

where \mathcal{M} is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. The following estimate was also proved in [16].

Proposition 2.1. *For any $v > 0$, $\frac{n}{n+v} < r < p \leq 1$, and $f \in H^p$*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{M}_j^r(\tilde{\Phi}_j * f))^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^p},$$

where \mathcal{M}_j^r is defined as in (2.3).

The next result is a rehash of an estimate proved in [16]; their estimate was in the multiparameter setting, whereas the one here is the single parameter version.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a non-negative continuous function, $v > 0$, and $\frac{n}{n+v} < r \leq 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{\ell(Q)=2^{-(j+N_0)}} |Q| \Phi_{\min(j,k)}^{n+v}(x - c_Q) f(c_Q) \lesssim 2^{\max(0, j-k)v} \mathcal{M}_j^r f(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where \mathcal{M}_j^r is defined in (2.3) and the summation indexed by $\ell(Q) = 2^{-(j+N_0)}$ is the sum over all dyadic cubes with side length $2^{-(j+N_0)}$ and c_Q denotes the center of cube Q .

Proof. Define

$$A_0 = \{Q \text{ dyadic} : \ell(Q) = 2^{-(j+N_0)} \text{ and } |x - c_Q| \leq 2^{-(j+N_0)}\}$$

$$A_\ell = \{Q \text{ dyadic} : \ell(Q) = 2^{-(j+N_0)} \text{ and } 2^{\ell-1-(j+N_0)} < |x - c_Q| \leq 2^{\ell-(j+N_0)}\}$$

for $\ell \geq 1$. Now for each $Q \in A_0$

$$\Phi_{\min(j,k)}^{n+v}(x - c_Q) = \frac{2^{\min(j,k)n}}{(1 + 2^{\min(j,k)}|x - c_Q|)^{n+v}} \leq 2^{\min(j,k)n} \leq 2^{jn},$$

and for each $Q \in A_\ell$ when $\ell \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\min(j,k)}^{n+v}(x - c_Q) &= \frac{2^{\min(j,k)n}}{(1 + 2^{\min(j,k)}|x - c_Q|)^{n+v}} \leq \frac{2^{\min(j,k)n}}{(1 + 2^{\min(j,k)}(2^{\ell-1-(j+N_0)}))^ {n+v}} \\ &\leq 2^{\min(j,k)n} 2^{-(n+v)\min(j,k)} 2^{-(n+v)\ell + n + v + (n+v)(j+N_0)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\max(0, j-k)v} 2^{-(n+v)\ell} 2^{jn} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bigcup_{\ell} A_{\ell}$ makes up the collection of all dyadic cubes with side length $2^{-(j+N_0)}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell(Q)=2^{-(j+N_0)}} |Q| \Phi_{\min(j,k)}^{n+v}(x-c_Q) f(c_Q) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{Q \in A_{\ell}} 2^{-(j+N_0)n} \Phi_{\min(j,k)}^{n+v}(x-c_Q) f(c_Q) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{Q \in A_0} f(c_Q) + 2^{\max(0, j-k)v} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\ell(n+v)} \sum_{Q \in A_{\ell}} f(c_Q) \\ &\leq 2^{\max(0, j-k)v} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\ell(n+v)} \left(\sum_{Q \in A_{\ell}} f(c_Q)^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $Q \in A_{\ell}$ and $y \in Q$ it follows that

$$|x-y| \leq |x-c_Q| + |y-c_Q| \leq 2^{-(j+N_0)} + 2^{\ell-(j+N_0)} \leq 2^{\ell+1-(j+N_0)},$$

Hence $\bigcup_{Q \in A_{\ell}} Q \subset B(x, 2^{\ell+1-(j+N_0)})$. We also have that $|A_{\ell}| \geq 2^{n(\ell-2)}$; so

$$\left| \bigcup_{Q \in A_{\ell}} Q \right| \geq 2^{-(j+N_0)n} 2^{n(\ell-2)} = 2^{-2n} 2^{(\ell-(j+N_0))n} \geq |B(0, 1)|^{-1} 2^{-2n} |B(0, 2^{\ell-(j+N_0)})|.$$

Now we estimate the sum in Q above:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{Q \in A_{\ell}} f(c_Q)^r &\leq \frac{1}{|\bigcup_{Q \in A_{\ell}} Q|} \int_{\bigcup_{Q \in A_{\ell}} Q} \chi_{\bigcup_{Q \in A_{\ell}} Q}(y) \sum_{Q \in A_{\ell}} f(c_Q)^r dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\bigcup_{Q \in A_{\ell}} Q|} \int_{\bigcup_{Q \in A_{\ell}} Q} 2^{(\ell+1)n} \sum_{Q \in A_{\ell}} f(c_Q)^r \chi_Q(y) dy \\ &\lesssim \frac{2^{\ell n}}{|B(x, 2^{\ell+1-(j+N_0)})|} \int_{B(x, 2^{\ell+1-(j+N_0)})} \sum_{Q \in A_{\ell}} f(c_Q)^r \chi_Q(y) dy \\ &= \frac{2^{\ell n}}{|B(x, 2^{\ell+1-(j+N_0)})|} \int_{B(x, 2^{\ell+1-(j+N_0)})} \left(\sum_{Q \in A_{\ell}} f(c_Q) \chi_Q(y) \right)^r dy \\ &\lesssim 2^{\ell n} \mathcal{M} \left[\left(\sum_{Q \in A_{\ell}} f(c_Q) \chi_Q \right)^r \right] (x). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell(Q)=2^{-(j+N_0)}} |Q| \Phi_{\min(j,k)}^{n+v}(x-c_Q) f(c_Q) &\lesssim 2^{\max(0, j-k)v} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\ell(n+v-n/r)} \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left[\left(\sum_{Q \in A_{\ell}} f(c_Q) \chi_Q \right)^r \right] (x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\max(0, j-k)v} \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left[\left(\sum_{\ell(Q)=2^{-(j+N_0)}} f(c_Q) \chi_Q \right)^r \right] (x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We will also need some Carleson measure estimates for the result in Theorem 1.1. The next proof is a well known argument that can be found in [6, 17].

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose*

$$(2.4) \quad d\mu(x, t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_k(x) \delta_{t=2^{-k}} dx$$

is a Carleson measure, where μ_k is a non-negative, locally integrable function for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Also let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, and define $P_k f = \varphi_k * f$, where $\varphi_k(x) = 2^{kn} \varphi(2^k x)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |P_k f|^p \mu_k \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^p} \quad \text{for all } 0 < p < \infty$$

and

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |P_k f|^2 \mu_k \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^p} \quad \text{for all } 0 < p \leq 2.$$

Proof. Let $f \in H^p$, and we begin the proof of the the first estimate above by looking at

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |P_k f(x)|^p \mu_k(x) dx \\ &= p \int_0^\infty d\mu \left(\left\{ (x, t) : \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t^{-n} \varphi(t^{-1}(x-y)) f(y) dy \right| > \lambda \right\} \right) \lambda^p \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $E_\lambda = \{x : |\mathcal{N}^\varphi f(x)| > \lambda\}$, and it follows that

$$\left\{ (x, t) : \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t^{-n} \varphi(t^{-1}(x-y)) f(y) dy \right| > \lambda \right\} \subset \widehat{E}_\lambda,$$

where $\widehat{E} = \{(x, t) : B(x, t) \subset E\}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |P_k f(x)|^p \mu_k(x) dx &\leq p \int_0^\infty d\mu(\widehat{E}_\lambda) \lambda^p \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \\ &\lesssim p \int_0^\infty |E_\lambda| \lambda^p \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} = \|\mathcal{N}^\varphi f\|_{L^p}^p = \|f\|_{H^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use that $d\mu(\widehat{E}) \lesssim |E|$ for any open set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, which is a well known estimate for Carleson measures. In the case $p = 2$, the second estimate coincides with the first and hence there is no more to prove. When $0 < p < 2$, we set $r = \frac{2}{p} > 1$ and then the Hölder conjugate of r is $r' = \frac{2}{2-p}$. Now

applying the first estimate above, we finish the proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |P_k f(x)|^2 \mu_k(x) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_k |P_k f(x)|^{(2-p)p/2} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |P_k f(x)|^p \mu_k(x) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \\
&\leq \left\| (\mathcal{N}^\varphi f)^{(2-p)p/2} \right\|_{L^{p'}} \left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |P_k f(x)|^p \mu_k(x) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} \\
&= \left\| \mathcal{N}^\varphi f \right\|_{L^p}^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |P_k f(x)|^p \mu_k(x) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{H^p}^{p(2-p)/2} \|f\|_{H^p}^{p^2/2} = \|f\|_{H^p}^p.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. HARDY SPACE ESTIMATES FOR SQUARE FUNCTIONS

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. To do this, we first prove a reduced version of the theorem.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume $\{\Lambda_k\} \in LPSO(n+2L+2\delta, L+\delta)$ for some integer $L \geq 0$ and $0 < \delta \leq 1$. If $\Lambda_k(y^\alpha) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|\alpha| \leq L$, then $\|S_\Lambda f\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^p}$ for all $f \in H^p \cap L^2$ and $\frac{n}{n+L+\delta} < p \leq 1$.*

We call this a reduced version of Theorem 1.1 because we have strengthened the assumptions of from the Carleson measure estimates for (1.4) to the vanishing moment type assumption above; $\Lambda_k(y^\alpha) = 0$ for $|\alpha| \leq L$.

Proof. Fix $v \in (n/p - n, L + \delta)$, which is possible since our assumption on p implies that $\frac{n}{p} - n < L + \delta$. Also fix $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $\frac{n}{n+v} < r < p$. Let $f \in H^p \cap L^2$, and we decompose

$$\Lambda_k f(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_Q |\mathcal{Q}| \tilde{\Phi}_j * f(c_Q) \Lambda_k \Psi_j^{c_Q}(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_Q |\mathcal{Q}| \tilde{\Phi}_j * f(c_Q) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_k(x, y) \Psi_j^{c_Q}(y) dy.$$

The summation in Q is over all dyadic cubes with side lengths $\ell(Q) = 2^{-(j+N_0)}$. Then we have the following almost orthogonality estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_k(x, y) \Phi_j^{c_Q}(y) dy \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_k(x, y) \left(\Phi_j^{c_Q}(y) - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq L} \frac{D^\alpha \Phi_j^{c_Q}(x)}{\alpha!} (y-x)^\alpha \right) dy \right| \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y) (2^j |x-y|)^{L+\delta} \left(\Phi_j^{n+L+\delta}(y-c_Q) + \Phi_j^{n+L+\delta}(x-c_Q) \right) dy \\
&\lesssim 2^{(L+\delta)(j-k)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_k^{n+L+\delta}(x-y) \left(\Phi_j^{n+L+\delta}(y-c_Q) + \Phi_j^{n+L+\delta}(x-c_Q) \right) dy \\
&\lesssim 2^{(L+\delta)(j-k)} \Phi_{\min(j,k)}^{n+L+\delta}(x-c_Q).
\end{aligned}$$

Also, using the vanishing moment properties of ϕ_j , we have the following estimate,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_k(x, y) \phi_j^{c_Q}(y) dy \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\lambda_k(x, y) - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq L} \frac{D_1^\alpha \lambda_k(x, c_Q)}{\alpha!} (x - y)^\alpha \right) \phi_j^{c_Q}(y) dy \right| \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_k^{n+L+\delta}(x - y) (2^k |y - c_Q|)^{L+\delta} \Phi_j^{n+2L+2\delta}(y - c_Q) dy \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_k^{n+L+\delta}(x - c_Q) (2^k |y - c_Q|)^{L+\delta} \Phi_j^{n+2L+2\delta}(y - c_Q) dy \\
&\lesssim 2^{(L+\delta)(k-j)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_k^{n+L+\delta}(x - y) \Phi_j^{n+L+\delta}(y - c_Q) dy \\
&\quad + 2^{(L+\delta)(k-j)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_k^{n+L+\delta}(x - c_Q) \Phi_j^{n+L+\delta}(y - c_Q) dy \\
&\lesssim 2^{(L+\delta)(k-j)} \Phi_{\min(j,k)}^{n+L+\delta}(x - c_Q).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_k(x, y) \phi_j^{c_Q}(y) dy \right| \lesssim 2^{-(L+\delta)|j-k|} \Phi_{\min(j,k)}^{n+v}(x - c_Q).$$

Applying Proposition 2.2 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Lambda_k f(x)| &\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_Q |Q| \tilde{\phi}_j * f(c_Q) 2^{-(L+\delta)|j-k|} \Phi_{\min(j,k)}^{n+v}(x - c_Q) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-(L+\delta)|j-k|} 2^{v \max(0, k-j)} \mathcal{M}_j^r(\tilde{\phi}_j * f)(x) \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\varepsilon|j-k|} \mathcal{M}_j^r(\tilde{\phi}_j * f)(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon = L + \delta - v > 0$; recall that these parameter are chosen such that $v < L + \delta$. Applying Proposition 2.1 to $\mathcal{M}_j^r(\tilde{\phi}_j * f)$ (recall that r was chosen such that $\frac{n}{n+v} < r < p$) yields the appropriate estimate below,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_\Lambda f\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\varepsilon|j-k|} \mathcal{M}_j^r(\tilde{\phi}_j * f) \right]^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} \\
&\lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\varepsilon|j-k|} [\mathcal{M}_j^r(\tilde{\phi}_j * f)]^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

Next we construct paraproducts to decompose Λ_k . Fix an approximation to identity operator $P_k f = \varphi_k * f$, where $\varphi_k(x) = 2^{kn} \varphi(2^k x)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ with integral 1. Define for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$

$$M_{\alpha, \beta} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|\beta|-|\alpha|} \frac{\beta!}{(\beta-\alpha)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(y) y^{\beta-\alpha} dy & \alpha \leq \beta \\ 0 & \alpha \not\leq \beta \end{cases}.$$

Here we say $\alpha \leq \beta$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ if $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. It is clear that $|M_{\alpha, \beta}| < \infty$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ since $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$. Also note that when $|\alpha| = |\beta|$

$$(3.1) \quad M_{\alpha, \beta} = \begin{cases} \beta! & \alpha = \beta \\ 0 & \alpha \neq \beta \text{ and } |\alpha| = |\beta| \end{cases}.$$

We consider the operators $P_k D^\alpha$ defined on \mathcal{S}' , where D^α is taken to get the distributional derivative acting on \mathcal{S}' . Hence $P_k D^\alpha f(x)$ is well defined for $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ since $P_k D^\alpha f(x) = \langle \varphi_k^x, D^\alpha f \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle D^\alpha(\varphi_k^x), f \rangle$ and $D^\alpha(\varphi_k^x) \in \mathcal{S}$. In fact, this gives a kernel representation for $P_k D^\alpha$; estimates for this kernel are addressed in the proof of Proposition 3.2. We also have

$$[[P_k D^\alpha]]_\beta(x) = 2^{|\beta|k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_k(x-y) \partial_y^\alpha ((x-y)^\beta) dy = 2^{k|\alpha|} M_{\alpha, \beta}.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$(3.2) \quad \Lambda_k^{(0)} f(x) = \Lambda_k f(x) - [[\Lambda_k]]_0(x) \cdot P_k f(x), \text{ and}$$

$$(3.3) \quad \Lambda_k^{(m)} f(x) = \Lambda_k^{(m-1)} f(x) - \sum_{|\alpha|=m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \frac{[[\Lambda_k^{(m-1)}]]_\alpha(x)}{\alpha!} \cdot 2^{-k|\alpha|} P_k D^\alpha f(x).$$

for $1 \leq m \leq L$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\{\Lambda_k\} \in LPSO(N, L + \delta)$, where $N = n + 2L + 2\delta$ for some integer $L \geq 0$ and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, and assume that*

$$(3.4) \quad d\mu_\alpha(x, t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |[[\Lambda_k]]_\alpha(x)|^2 \delta_{t=2^{-k}} dx$$

is a Carleson measure for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ such that $|\alpha| \leq L$. Also let $\Lambda_k^{(m)}$ be as in (3.2) and (3.3) for $0 \leq m \leq L$. Then $\Lambda_k^{(m)} \in LPSO(N, L + \delta)$ for the same N, L , and δ , and satisfy the following:

- (1) $[[\Lambda_k^{(m)}]]_\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq m \leq L$.
- (2) $d\mu_m(x, t)$ is a Carleson measure for all $0 \leq m \leq L$, where $d\mu_m$ is defined

$$d\mu_m(x, t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq L} |[[\Lambda_k^{(m)}]]_\alpha(x)|^2 \delta_{t=2^{-k}} dx.$$

Proof. Since $\{\Lambda_k\} \in LPSO(n + 2L + 2\delta, L + \delta)$, we know that $|[[\Lambda_k]]_\alpha(x)| \lesssim 1$ for all $|\alpha| \leq L$. Then to verify that $\{\Lambda_k^{(m)}\} \in LPSO(n + 2L + 2\delta, L + \delta)$ for $0 \leq m \leq L$, it is sufficient to show that $\{2^{-k|\alpha|} P_k D^\alpha\} \in LPSO(n + 2L + 2\delta, L + \delta)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. For $f \in \mathcal{S}'$, we have the following integral representation for $2^{-k|\alpha|} P_k D^\alpha f$, which was alluded to above,

$$2^{-k|\alpha|} P_k D^\alpha f(x) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} 2^{-k|\alpha|} \langle D^\alpha(\varphi_k^x), f \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} (D^\alpha \varphi)_k * f(x).$$

Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, it easily follows that $D^\alpha \varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and that $\{2^{-k|\alpha|} P_k D^\alpha\} \in LPSO(n + 2L + 2\delta, L + \delta)$. Now we prove (1) by induction: the $m = 0$ case for (1) is not hard to verify

$$[[\Lambda_k^{(0)}]]_0 = \Lambda_k 1 - [[\Lambda_k]]_0 \cdot P_k 1 = [[\Lambda_k]]_0 - [[\Lambda_k]]_0 = 0.$$

Now assume that (1) holds for $m-1$, that is, assume $[[\Lambda_k^{(m-1)}]]_\alpha = 0$ for all $|\alpha| \leq m-1$. Then for $|\beta| \leq m-1$

$$[[\Lambda_k^{(m)}]]_\beta = [[\Lambda_k^{(m-1)}]]_\beta - \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{[[\Lambda_k^{(m-1)}]]_\alpha}{\alpha!} (-1)^{|\alpha|} M_{\alpha,\beta} = 0.$$

The first term here vanished by the inductive hypothesis. The second term is zero since $|\beta| < m = |\alpha|$ and hence $M_{\alpha,\beta} = 0$. For $|\beta| = m$,

$$[[\Lambda_k^{(m)}]]_\beta = [[\Lambda_k^{(m-1)}]]_\beta - \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{[[\Lambda_k^{(m-1)}]]_\alpha}{\alpha!} (-1)^{|\alpha|} M_{\alpha,\beta} = [[\Lambda_k^{(m-1)}]]_\beta - [[\Lambda_k^{(m-1)}]]_\beta = 0,$$

where the sum collapses using (3.1). By induction, this verifies (1) for all $m \leq L$. Given the Carleson measure assumption for $d\mu_\alpha(x, t)$ in (3.4), one can easily prove (2) if the following statement holds: for all $0 \leq m \leq L$

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{|\alpha| \leq L} |[[\Lambda_k^{(m)}]]_\alpha(x)| \leq (1 + C_0)^{m+1} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq L} |[[\Lambda_k]]_\alpha(x)|, \text{ where } C_0 = \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq L} |M_{\alpha,\beta}|.$$

We verify (3.5) by induction. For $m = 0$, let $|\beta| \leq L$, and it follows that

$$[[\Lambda_k^{(0)}]]_\beta = [[\Lambda_k]]_\beta - [[\Lambda_k]]_0 \cdot [[P_k]]_\beta = [[\Lambda_k]]_\beta - [[\Lambda_k]]_0 \cdot M_{0,\beta}$$

Then

$$\sum_{|\beta| \leq L} |[[\Lambda_k^{(0)}]]_\beta| \leq \sum_{|\beta| \leq L} |[[\Lambda_k]]_\beta| + \sum_{|\beta| \leq L} |[[\Lambda_k]]_0| |M_{0,\beta}| \leq (1 + C_0) \sum_{|\beta| \leq L} |[[\Lambda_k]]_\beta|.$$

Now assume that (3.5) holds for $m-1$, and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\beta| \leq L} |[[\Lambda_k^{(m)}]]_\beta| &\leq \sum_{|\beta| \leq L} |[[\Lambda_k^{(m-1)}]]_\beta| + \sum_{|\beta| \leq L} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |[[\Lambda_k^{(m-1)}]]_\alpha| |M_{\alpha,\beta}| \\ &\leq \left(1 + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq L} |M_{\alpha,\beta}| \right) \sum_{|\beta| \leq L} |[[\Lambda_k^{(m-1)}]]_\beta| \\ &\leq (1 + C_0) \sum_{|\beta| \leq L} |[[\Lambda_k^{(m-1)}]]_\beta| \leq (1 + C_0)^{m+1} \sum_{|\beta| \leq L} |[[\Lambda_k]]_\beta|. \end{aligned}$$

We use the inductive hypothesis in the last inequality here to bound the $[[\Lambda_k^{(m-1)}]]_\beta$. Then by induction, the estimate in (3.5) holds for all $0 \leq m \leq L$, and completes the proof. \square

Now we use Lemma 3.1 and the paraproduct operators $\Lambda_k^{(m)}$ along with Propositions 2.3 and 3.2 to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By density, it is sufficient to prove that $\|S_\Lambda f\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^p}$ for $f \in H^p \cap L^2$. We bound Λ_k in the following way using the definitions of $\Lambda_k^{(m)}$ in (3.2) and (3.3);

$$\begin{aligned} |\Lambda_k(x)f| &\leq |\Lambda_k 1(x) \cdot P_k f(x)| + |\Lambda_k^{(0)} f(x)| \\ &\leq |\Lambda_k 1(x) \cdot P_k f(x)| + |\Lambda_k^{(1)} f(x)| + \sum_{|\alpha|=1} |[[\Lambda_k^{(0)}]]_\alpha(x)| 2^{-k|\alpha|} |P_k D^\alpha f(x)| \\ &\leq |\Lambda_k 1(x) \cdot P_k f(x)| + |\Lambda_k^{(L)} f(x)| + \sum_{m=1}^L \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |[[\Lambda_k^{(m-1)}]]_\alpha(x)| 2^{-k|\alpha|} |P_k D^\alpha f(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

By Propositions 2.3 and 3.2, it follows that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\Lambda_k 1 P_k f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} + \sum_{m=1}^L \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |[[\Lambda_k^{(m-1)}]]_\alpha 2^{-|\alpha|k} P_k D^\alpha f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^p}.$$

Also by Lemma 3.1, it follows that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\Lambda_k^{(L)} f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^p}.$$

Therefore S_Λ can be extended to a bounded operator from H^p into L^p . \square

4. HARDY SPACE BOUNDS FOR SINGULAR INTEGRAL OPERATORS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. This is a reduced version of Theorem 1.6 in the sense that we have strengthened the assumptions on T , and hence obtain only a sufficient condition, not necessary. We will apply Theorem 1.1 to prove Theorem 1.3. In order to do so, we prove the decomposition result in Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_M$. It is not hard to check that $T^* \psi_k^x(y)$ is the kernel of $Q_k T$, where $\psi_k^x(y) = \psi_k(y-x)$. Also let $L = \lfloor M/2 \rfloor$ and $\delta = (M - 2L + \gamma)/2$. We first verify (1.1)-(1.3) for $|x-y| > 2^{3-k}$. Assume that $|x-y| > 2^{3-k}$. Then for $|\alpha| \leq L$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_y^\alpha T^* \psi^x(y)| &= \left| \partial_y^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(K(u, y) - \sum_{|\beta| \leq M} \frac{D_0^\beta K(x, y)}{\beta!} (u-x)^\beta \right) \psi_k(u-x) du \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(D_1^\alpha K(u, y) - \sum_{|\beta| \leq M} \frac{D_0^\beta D_1^\alpha K(x, y)}{\beta!} (u-x)^\beta \right) \psi_k(u-x) du \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|x-u|^{M+\gamma}}{|x-y|^{n+|\alpha|+M+\gamma}} |\psi_k(u-x)| du \\ &\lesssim \frac{2^{-k(M+\gamma)}}{(2^{-k} + |x-y|)^{n+|\alpha|+M+\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi_k(u-x)| du \\ &\lesssim 2^{|\alpha|k} \Phi_k^{n+M+|\alpha|+\gamma}(x-y) \leq 2^{|\alpha|k} \Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y). \end{aligned}$$

If $M \geq 1$, then this estimate holds for all $|\alpha| \leq L + 1$. In this case, the above estimate implies that (1.3) also holds for $N = n + 2L + 2\delta$ and any $0 < \delta \leq 1$. So it remains to verify (1.3) for $M = 1$, in which case $L = 0$ and $\delta = \gamma/2$. If $|y - y'| \geq 2^{-k}$, then property (1.3) easily follows from the estimate just proved with $\alpha = 0$. Otherwise we assume that $|y - y'| < 2^{-k}$, and it follows that $|x - y'| \geq |x - y| - |y - y'| > |x - y|/2 \geq 2^{1-k}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|T^*\psi^x(y) - T^*\psi^x(y')| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (K(u, y) - K(u, y')) \psi_k(u - x) du \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((K(u, y) - K(u, y')) - (K(x, y) - K(x, y'))) \psi_k(u - x) du \right| \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{|\beta|=1} |D_0^\beta K(\xi, y) - D_0^\beta K(\xi, y')| |u - x| |\psi_k(u - x)| du \\
&\quad \text{for some } \xi = cx + (1 - c)u \text{ with } 0 < c < 1 \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|y - y'|^\gamma |x - u|}{|\xi - y|^{n+1+\gamma}} |\psi_k(u - x)| du \\
&\lesssim \frac{|y - y'|^\gamma 2^{-k}}{(2^{-k} + |x - y|)^{n+1+\gamma}} = 2^{\delta k} |y - y'|^\delta \Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x - y).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall this is the situation where $M = 1$, $L = 0$, $\delta = \gamma/2$, and $|y - y'| \leq 2^{-k}$, and hence in the last line $n + \gamma = n + 2L + 2\delta$ and $2^{\gamma k} |y - y'|^\gamma \leq 2^{\delta k} |y - y'|^\delta$. This completes the proof of (1.1)-(1.3) for $|x - y| > 2^{3-k}$.

When $|x - y| \leq 2^{3-k}$, we decompose $Q_k T$ further. Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty$ with integral 1 such that $\tilde{\psi}(x) = 2^n \phi(2x) - \phi(x)$ and $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{D}_M$. Then

$$(4.1) \quad T^*\psi_k^x(y) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P_N T^*\psi_k^x(y) = \sum_{\ell=k}^{\infty} \tilde{Q}_\ell T^*\psi_k^x(y) + P_k T^*\psi_k^x(y).$$

This equality holds pointwise almost everywhere since T is a continuous operator from L^2 to L^2 and $\psi_k^x \in \mathcal{D}_M$. Note that $\tilde{\psi}, \psi \in \mathcal{D}_M$, and it is only this property that will be used throughout the rest of this proof. So we abuse notation to make this proof a bit easier to read. For the remainder of the proof, we will simply write $\tilde{\psi}_\ell = \psi_\ell$ and $\tilde{Q}_\ell = Q_\ell$ with the understanding that these two can actually be allowed to be different elements of \mathcal{D}_M . Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq L$. Using the hypothesis $T^*(x^\mu) = 0$ for $|\mu| \leq L$ we write

$$\begin{aligned}
|D_1^\alpha \langle T\psi_\ell^y, \psi_k^x \rangle| &\leq |A_{\ell,k}(x, y)| + |B_{\ell,k}(x, y)|, \text{ where} \\
A_{\ell,k}(x, y) &= 2^{\ell|\alpha|} \int_{|u-y| \leq 2^{1-\ell}} T((D^\alpha \psi)_\ell^y)(u) \left(\psi_k^x(u) - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq L} \frac{D^\alpha \psi_k^x(y_1)}{\alpha!} (u - y)^\alpha \right) du, \\
B_{\ell,k}(x, y) &= 2^{\ell|\alpha|} \int_{|u-y| > 2^{1-\ell}} T((D^\alpha \psi)_\ell^y)(u) \left(\psi_k^x(u) - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq L} \frac{D^\alpha \psi_k^x(y)}{\alpha!} (u - y)^\alpha \right) du.
\end{aligned}$$

The $A_{\ell,k}$ term is bounded as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_{\ell,k}(x,y)| &\leq 2^{\ell|\alpha|} \|T((D^\alpha \Psi)_\ell^y) \cdot \chi_{B(y,2^{1-\ell})}\|_{L^1} \\
&\quad \times \left\| \left(\Psi_k^x(u) - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq L} \frac{D^\alpha \Psi_k^x(y)}{\alpha!} (\cdot - y)^\alpha \right) \cdot \chi_{B(y,2^{1-\ell})} \right\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\lesssim 2^{\ell|\alpha|} 2^{-\ell n/2} \|T((D^\alpha \Psi)_\ell^y)\|_{L^2} 2^{(L+\delta)(k-\ell)} 2^{kn} \\
&\lesssim 2^{\ell|\alpha|} 2^{-\ell n/2} \|(D^\alpha \Psi)_\ell^y\|_{L^2} 2^{(L+\delta)(k-\ell)} 2^{kn} \lesssim 2^{k|\alpha|} 2^{\delta(k-\ell)} \Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $0 < \delta' < \delta'' < \delta$. The $B_{\ell,k}$ term is bounded using the kernel representation of T

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{\ell,k}(x,y)| &\leq 2^{\ell|\alpha|} \int_{|u-y| > 2^{1-\ell}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| K(u,v) - \sum_{|\beta| \leq L} \frac{D_1^\beta K(u,y)}{\beta!} (v-y)^\beta \right| |(D^\alpha \Psi)_\ell^y(u)| dv \\
&\quad \times \left| \Psi_k^x(u) - \sum_{|\mu| \leq L} \frac{D^\mu(\Psi_k^x)(y)}{\mu!} (u-y)^\mu \right| du \\
&\lesssim 2^{\ell|\alpha|} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{m-\ell} < |u-y| \leq 2^{m+1-\ell}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|v-y|^{L+\delta}}{|u-y|^{n+L+\delta}} |(D^\alpha \Psi)_\ell^y(v)| dv 2^{kn} (2^k |u-y|)^{L+\delta''} du \\
&\lesssim 2^{\ell|\alpha|} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{m-\ell} < |u-y| \leq 2^{m+1-\ell}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2^{-(L+\delta)\ell}}{2^{(n+L+\delta)(m-\ell)}} |(D^\alpha \Psi)_\ell^y(v)| dv 2^{kn} (2^k 2^{m-\ell})^{L+\delta''} du \\
&\lesssim 2^{\ell|\alpha|} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{(m-\ell)n} 2^{-(L+\delta)\ell} 2^{-(n+L+\delta)(m-\ell)} 2^{kn} 2^{(L+\delta'')(k+m-\ell)} \\
&\lesssim 2^{k|\alpha|} 2^{(L-|\alpha|+\delta'')(k-\ell)} 2^{kn} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{(\delta''-\delta)m} \lesssim 2^{k|\alpha|} 2^{\delta''(k-\ell)} \Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y).
\end{aligned}$$

It is not crucial here that we took $\delta' < \delta'' < \delta$, but this estimate will be used again later where our choice of $\delta' < \delta''$ will be important. It follows that the kernel $T^* \Psi_k^x(y)$ of $Q_k T$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_y^\alpha T^* \Psi_k^x(y)| &= 2^{\ell|\alpha|} \left| \sum_{\ell > k} \langle T((D^\alpha \Psi)_\ell^y), \Psi_k^x \rangle \right| \\
&\lesssim 2^{k|\alpha|} \sum_{\ell > k} 2^{\delta''(k-\ell)} \Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y) \lesssim 2^{k|\alpha|} \Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y).
\end{aligned}$$

This verifies that $T^* \Psi_k^x(y)$ satisfies (1.1) for $|x-y| \leq 2^{3-k}$. We also verify the δ -Hölder regularity estimate (1.2) for $T^* \Psi_k^x(y)$ with δ' in place of δ : let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $|\alpha| = L$. It trivially follows from

the above estimate that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\ell \geq k: 2^{-\ell} < |y-y'|} \left| \left\langle D_1^\alpha T(\psi_\ell^y - \psi_\ell^{y'}), \psi_k^x \right\rangle \right| \\
& \lesssim \sum_{\ell \geq k: 2^{-\ell} < |y-y'|} 2^{\delta''(k-\ell)} (2^\ell |y-y'|)^{\delta'} 2^{k|\alpha|} \left(\Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y) + \Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y') \right) \\
& \lesssim 2^{k|\alpha|} \sum_{\ell \geq k: 2^{-\ell} < |y-y'|} 2^{(\delta''-\delta')(k-\ell)} (2^k |y-y'|)^{\delta'} \left(\Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y) + \Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y') \right) \\
& \lesssim 2^{k(|\alpha|+\delta')} |y-y'|^{\delta'} \left(\Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y) + \Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y') \right).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for the situation where $|y-y'| \leq 2^{-\ell}$, we consider

$$\sum_{\ell \geq k: 2^{-\ell} \geq |y-y'|} \left| \left\langle D_1^\alpha T(\psi_\ell^y - \psi_\ell^{y'}), \psi_k^x \right\rangle \right| \leq |A_{\ell,k}(x, y, y')| + |B_{\ell,k}(x, y, y')|,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{\ell,k}(x, y, y') &= 2^{\ell|\alpha|} \int_{|u-y| \leq 2^{2-\ell}} T((D^\alpha \psi)_\ell^y - (D^\alpha \psi)_\ell^{y'})(u) \\
&\quad \times \left(\psi_k^x(u) - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq L} \frac{D^\alpha \psi_k^x(y)}{\alpha!} (u-y)^\alpha \right) du, \text{ and} \\
B_{\ell,k}(x, y, y') &= 2^{\ell|\alpha|} \int_{|u-y| > 2^{2-\ell}} T((D^\alpha \psi)_\ell^y - (D^\alpha \psi)_\ell^{y'})(u) \\
&\quad \times \left(\psi_k^x(u) - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq L} \frac{D^\alpha \psi_k^x(y)}{\alpha!} (u-y)^\alpha \right) du.
\end{aligned}$$

The $A_{\ell,k}$ term is bounded as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_{\ell,k}(x, y, y')| &\leq 2^{\ell|\alpha|} \|T((D^\alpha \psi)_\ell^y - (D^\alpha \psi)_\ell^{y'}) \cdot \chi_{B(y, 2^{1-\ell})}\|_{L^1} \\
&\quad \times \left\| \left(\psi_k^x(u) - \sum_{|\mu| \leq L} \frac{D^\mu \psi_k^x(y)}{\mu!} (u-y)^\mu \right) \cdot \chi_{B(y, 2^{1-\ell})} \right\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\lesssim 2^{\ell|\alpha|} 2^{-\ell n/2} \|T((D^\alpha \psi)_\ell^y - (D^\alpha \psi)_\ell^{y'})\|_{L^2} 2^{(L+\delta)(k-\ell)} 2^{kn} \\
&\lesssim 2^{\ell|\alpha|} (2^\ell |y-y'|)^{\delta'} 2^{(L+\delta)(k-\ell)} 2^{kn} \\
&\leq 2^{k|\alpha|} 2^{(\delta-\delta')(k-\ell)} (2^k |y-y'|)^{\delta'} \left(\Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y) + \Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y') \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall the selection of δ'' such that $0 < \delta' < \delta'' < \delta$. The $B_{\ell,k}$ term is bounded using the kernel representation of T

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{\ell,k}(x,y,y')| &= 2^{\ell|\alpha|} \left| \int_{|u-y|>2^{1-\ell}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(K(u,v) - \sum_{|v|\leq L} \frac{D_1^\vee K(u,y)}{v!} (v-y)^\vee \right) \right. \\
&\quad \times ((D^\alpha \psi)_\ell^y(v) - (D^\alpha \psi)_\ell^{y'}(v)) \left(\psi_k^x(u) - \sum_{|\mu|\leq L} \frac{D^\mu \psi_k^x(y)}{\mu!} (u-y)^\mu \right) du dv \Big| \\
&\lesssim 2^{\ell|\alpha|} \int_{|u-y|>2^{1-\ell}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|v-y|^{L+\delta}}{|u-y|^{n+L+\delta}} \\
&\quad \times |(D^\alpha \psi)_\ell^y(v) - (D^\alpha \psi)_\ell^{y'}(v)| dv 2^{kn} (2^k |u-y|)^{L+\delta''} du \\
&\lesssim 2^{\ell|\alpha|} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{m-\ell} < |u-y| \leq 2^{m+1-\ell}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2^{-(L+\delta)\ell}}{2^{(n+L+\delta)(m-\ell)}} (2^\ell |y-y'|)^{\delta'} \\
&\quad \times (\Phi_\ell^{n+1}(y-v) + \Phi_\ell^{n+1}(y'-v)) dv 2^{kn} (2^k |u-y|)^{L+\delta''} du \\
&\lesssim 2^{\ell|\alpha|} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{n(m-\ell)} 2^{-(L+\delta)\ell} 2^{(n+L+\delta)(\ell-m)} (2^\ell |y-y'|)^{\delta'} 2^{kn} 2^{(L+\delta'')(k+m-\ell)} \\
&\lesssim 2^{k|\alpha|} 2^{(\ell-k)|\alpha|} 2^{\delta'(\ell-k)} (2^k |y-y'|)^{\delta'} 2^{kn} 2^{(L+\delta'')(k-\ell)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{(\delta''-\delta)m} \\
&\lesssim 2^{k|\alpha|} (2^k |y-y'|)^{\delta'} 2^{(\delta''-\delta')(k-\ell)} \left(\Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y) + \Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y') \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\ell=k}^{\infty} |A_{\ell,k}(x,y,y')| + |B_{\ell,k}(x,y,y')| \\
&\lesssim 2^{k|\alpha|} (2^k |y-y'|)^{\delta'} \left(\Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y) + \Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y') \right) \sum_{\ell=k}^{\infty} 2^{(\delta''-\delta')(k-\ell)} \\
&\lesssim 2^{k|\alpha|} (2^k |y-y'|)^{\delta'} \left(\Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y) + \Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y') \right)
\end{aligned}$$

We now check that $P_k T^* \psi_k^x(y)$, the second term from (4.1), also satisfies the appropriate size and regularity estimates. For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$

$$|\partial_y^\alpha P_k T^* \psi_k^x(y)| = 2^{|\alpha|k} |\langle T(D^\alpha \phi)_k^y, \psi_k^x \rangle| \leq 2^{|\alpha|k} \|T\|_{2,2} 2^{kn} \lesssim 2^{|\alpha|k} \Phi_k^{n+2L+2\delta}(x-y).$$

Here $\|T\|_{2,2}$ is the L^2 operator norm of T . Therefore $T^* \psi_k^x(y)$ satisfies size and regularity properties (1.1) and (1.2) with δ' in place of δ , and hence $\{Q_k T\} \in LPSO(n+2L+2\delta, L+\delta')$ for all $\delta' \in (0, \delta)$. It is trivial now to note that for $\frac{n}{n+L+\delta} < p \leq 1$, T is bounded on H^p if and only if S_Λ is bounded from H^p into L^p since $\|Tf\|_{H^p} \approx \|S_\Lambda f\|_{L^p}$ by the Littlewood-Paley-Stein characterization of H^p in [10]. \square

Lemma 4.1. *Let $T \in CZO(M + \gamma)$ be bounded on L^2 and satisfy $T^*(x^\alpha) = 0$ for all $|\alpha| \leq L = \lfloor M/2 \rfloor$. For $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_M$, define*

$$d\mu_\psi(x, t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq L} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |[[Q_k T]]_\alpha(x)|^2 \delta_{t=2^{-k}} dx,$$

where $Q_k f = \psi_k * f$ and $\psi_k(x) = 2^{kn} \psi(2^k x)$. If $[[T]]_\alpha \in BMO_{|\alpha|}$ for all $|\alpha| \leq L$, then $d\mu_\psi$ is a Carleson measure for any $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{M+L}$.

Proof. Assume that $[[T]]_\alpha \in BMO_{|\alpha|}$ for all $|\alpha| \leq L$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{M+L}$, and it follows that $\{Q_k T\} \in LPSO(L, \delta')$ for all $\delta' < \delta$, where $Q_k f$ is defined as above and $L = \lfloor M/2 \rfloor$ and $\delta = (M - 2L + \gamma)/2$. We also define $Q_k^\beta f = \psi_k^\beta * f$, where $\psi_k^\beta(x) = (-1)^{|\beta|} \psi_k(x) x^\beta$. It follows that $\psi^\beta \in \mathcal{D}_{M+L-|\beta|}$. Now let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ such that $|\alpha| \leq L$. Note that for $\beta \leq \alpha$, it follows that $\psi^\beta \in \mathcal{D}_M$, and hence $\{Q_k^\beta T\} \in LPSO(n + 2L + 2\delta, L + \delta')$ for all $0 < \delta' < \delta$ as well. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [[Q_k T]]_\alpha(x) &= 2^{|\alpha|k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} T^* \psi_k^x(y) (x - y)^\alpha dy \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} 2^{|\alpha|k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \mathcal{K}(u, y) \psi_k^x(u) \eta_R(y) (x - y)^\alpha du dy \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} c_{\alpha, \beta} 2^{|\alpha|k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \mathcal{K}(u, y) \psi_k^x(u) (x - u)^\beta (u - y)^{\alpha - \beta} du dy \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} c_{\alpha, \beta} 2^{(|\alpha| - |\beta|)k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \mathcal{K}(u, y) (\psi_k^\beta)^x(u) \eta_R(y) (u - y)^{\alpha - \beta} du dy \\ &= \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} c_{\alpha, \beta} 2^{(|\alpha| - |\beta|)k} \left\langle [[T]]_{\alpha - \beta}, (\psi_k^\beta)^x \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube with side length $\ell(Q)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2^{-k} \leq \ell(Q)} \int_Q |[[Q_k T]]_\alpha(x)|^2 dx &\leq \sum_{2^{-k} \leq \ell(Q)} \int_Q \left(\sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} c_{\alpha, \beta} 2^{(|\alpha| - |\beta|)k} \left| \left\langle [[T]]_{\alpha - \beta}, (\psi_k^\beta)^x \right\rangle \right|^2 \right) dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \sum_{2^{-k} \leq \ell(Q)} \int_Q 2^{2(|\alpha| - |\beta|)k} \left| \left\langle [[T]]_{\alpha - \beta}, (\psi_k^\beta)^x \right\rangle \right|^2 dx \lesssim |Q|. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds since $[[T]]_{\alpha - \beta} \in BMO_{|\alpha| - |\beta|}$ and $\psi_k^\beta \in \mathcal{D}_M \subset \mathcal{D}_{|\alpha| - |\beta|}$ for all $\beta \leq \alpha$. \square

Motivated by the proof of Lemma 4.1, we pause for a moment to introduce an alternative testing condition to $[[T]]_\alpha \in BMO_{|\alpha|}$ in Theorem 1.6. The following proposition introduces a perturbation of the definition of $[[T]]_\alpha$ with necessary and sufficient conditions for $[[T]]_\alpha \in BMO_{|\alpha|}$ for $|\alpha| \leq L$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $T \in CZO(M + \gamma)$ with $T^*(y^\alpha) = 0$ for $|\alpha| \leq L$. Then $[[T]]_\alpha \in BMO_{|\alpha|}$ for all $|\alpha| \leq L$ if and only if*

$$d\mu_\psi(x, t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq L} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2k|\alpha|} |\langle T G_\alpha^x, \psi_k^x \rangle|^2 \delta_{t=2^{-k}} dx$$

is a Carleson measure for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{M+L}$, where $Q_k f = \psi_k * f$ and $G_\alpha^x(u) = (u - x)^\alpha$.

The quantity $\langle TG_\alpha^x, \psi \rangle$ is very closely related to $\langle [[T]]_\alpha, \psi \rangle$. One can obtain the distribution TG_α^x by replacing $(u-y)^\alpha$ with $(x-y)^\alpha$ in the definition of $[[T]]_\alpha$. This gives an alternative testing condition for $[[T]]_\alpha \in BMO_{|\alpha|}$ that could be convenient in some situations.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{|\alpha|k} \langle TG_\alpha^x, \psi_k^x \rangle &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} 2^{|\alpha|k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \mathcal{K}(u, y) \psi_k^x(u) \eta_R(y) (x-y)^\alpha du dy \\ &= \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} c_{\alpha, \beta} 2^{(|\alpha| - |\beta|)k} \langle [[T]]_{\alpha - \beta}, (\psi_k^\beta)^x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here $c_{\alpha, \beta}$ are binomial coefficients and are bounded uniformly for $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq L$ depending on L . Likewise we have that

$$2^{|\alpha|k} \langle [[T]]_\alpha, \psi_k^x \rangle = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} c_{\alpha, \beta} 2^{(|\alpha| - |\beta|)k} \langle TG_{\alpha - \beta}^x, (\psi_k^\beta)^x \rangle.$$

Lemma 4.2 easily follows. \square

Finally we prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By density, it is sufficient to prove the appropriate estimates for $f \in H^p \cap L^2$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{M+L}$ such that Calderón's reproducing formula (2.2) holds for $Q_k f = \psi_k * f$, where $L = \lfloor M/2 \rfloor$. By Theorem 1.4, it follows that $\{\Lambda_k\} = \{Q_k T\} \in LPSO(n + 2L + \delta, L + \delta')$ for all $0 < \delta' < \delta = (M - 2L + \gamma)/2$. So fix a $\delta' \in (0, \delta)$ close enough to δ so that $\frac{n}{n+L+\delta} < \frac{n}{n+L+\delta'} < p$. By Lemma 4.1, it follows that

$$d\mu(x, t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq L} |[Q_k T]_\alpha(x)|^2 dx \delta_{t=2^{-k}}$$

is a Carleson measure. By Theorems 1.1 and 1.4, it also follows that S_Λ can be extended to a bounded operator from H^p into L^p , and hence T can be extended to a bounded operator on H^p . \square

5. AN APPLICATION TO BONY TYPE PARAPRODUCTS

In this section, we apply Theorem 1.6 to show that the Bony paraproduct operators from [3] are bounded on H^p , which was stated in Theorem 1.5. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{L+1}$ for some $L \geq 0$ and $\phi \in C_0^\infty$. Define $Q_k f = \psi_k * f$ and $P_k f = \phi_k * f$. For $\beta \in BMO$, recall the definition of Π_β in (1.5)

$$\Pi_\beta f(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_j (Q_j \beta \cdot P_j f)(x).$$

It follows that $\Pi_\beta \in CZO(M + \gamma)$ for all $M \geq 0$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$. We will focus on the properties $T^*(x^\alpha) = 0$ and $[[T]]_\alpha \in BMO_{|\alpha|}$ for $|\alpha| \leq L$. Once we prove these two things, we obtain Theorem 1.5 by applying Theorem 1.6. We first give the definition of the Fourier transform that we will use and prove a lemma that will be used to prove the Hardy space bounds for Π_β . For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}[f](\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{ix \cdot \xi} dx.$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{M+1}$ for some integer M , and $-M \leq s \leq M$. Define $V(x)$ and $V_k(x)$ by $\widehat{V}(\xi) = |\xi|^s \cdot \widehat{\psi}(\xi)$ and $V_k(x) = 2^{kn}V(2^kx)$. Also define*

$$T_V f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V_k * f(x).$$

Then T_V is bounded on H^1 and on BMO .

Proof. We verify this lemma by showing that the convolution kernel of T_V has uniformly bounded Fourier transform. The kernel of T_V is

$$K(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V_k(x).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{K}(\xi)| &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{V}(2^{-k}\xi)| = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{-k}|\xi|)^s |\widehat{\psi}(2^{-k}\xi)|^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{-k}|\xi|)^s \min(2^{-k}|\xi|, 2^k|\xi|^{-1})^{M+1} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \min(2^{-k}|\xi|, 2^k|\xi|^{-1}) \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that since $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{M+1}$, it follows that $|\widehat{\psi}(\xi)| \leq \min(|\xi|, |\xi|^{-1})^{M+1}$. It follows that T_V is bounded on H^1 and on BMO ; see [10]. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. As remarked above, it is clear that $\Pi_\beta \in CZO(M + \gamma)$ for all $M \geq 0$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$. So it is enough to show that $T^*(x^\alpha) = 0$ and $[[T]]_\alpha \in BMO_{|\alpha|}$ for $|\alpha| \leq L$. For $f \in \mathcal{D}_L$, we check the first condition.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Pi_\beta^*(x^\alpha), f \rangle &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle Q_j(Q_j \beta \cdot P_j f), \eta_R \cdot x^\alpha \rangle \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q_j \beta(u) P_j f(u) Q_j(\eta_R \cdot x^\alpha)(u) du \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q_j \beta(u) P_j f(u) Q_j(x^\alpha)(u) du = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $Q_j(x^\alpha) = 0$ for $|\alpha| \leq L$. We also verify the $BMO_{|\alpha|}$ conditions. Let $|\alpha| \leq L$, and compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle [[\Pi_\beta]]_\alpha, \psi_k^x \rangle &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \psi_j(u-v) Q_j \beta(v) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_j(v-y) (u-y)^\alpha \eta_R(y) dy \right) \psi_k^x(u) dv du \\
&= \sum_{\mu \leq \alpha} c_{\alpha, \mu} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \psi_j(u-v) Q_j \beta(v) \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_j(v-y) (u-v)^{(\mu)} (v-y)^{\alpha-\mu} \eta_R(y) dy \right) \psi_k^x(u) dv du \\
&= \sum_{\mu \leq \alpha} c_{\alpha, \mu} C_{\alpha-\mu} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-|\alpha|j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \psi_j^{(\mu)}(u-v) Q_j \beta(v) \psi_k^x(u) dv du \\
&= \sum_{\mu \leq \alpha} c_{\alpha, \mu} C_{\alpha-\mu} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-|\alpha|j} Q_k Q_j^{(\mu)} Q_j \beta(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi^{(\mu)}(x) = x^\mu \psi(x)$, $\psi_j^{(\mu)}(x) = 2^{jn} \psi^{(\mu)}(2^j x)$, and $Q_j^{(\mu)} f(x) = \psi_j^{(\mu)} * f(x)$. Now we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{|\alpha|(k-j)} \mathcal{F} [Q_k Q_j^{(\mu)} Q_j f] (\xi) &= 2^{|\alpha|(k-j)} \widehat{\psi}(2^{-k} \xi) \widehat{\psi^{(\mu)}}(2^{-j} \xi) \widehat{\psi}(2^{-j} \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) \\
&= \left((2^{-k} |\xi|)^{-|\alpha|} \widehat{\psi}(2^{-k} \xi) \right) \left((2^{-j} |\xi|)^{|\alpha|} \widehat{\psi^{(\mu)}}(2^{-j} \xi) \widehat{\psi}(2^{-j} \xi) \right) \widehat{f}(\xi) \\
&= \mathcal{F} [W_k * V_j * f] (\xi),
\end{aligned}$$

where W and V are defined by $\widehat{W}(\xi) = |\xi|^{-|\alpha|} \widehat{\psi}(\xi)$, $\widehat{V^{(\mu)}}(\xi) = |\xi|^{|\alpha|} \widehat{\psi^{(\mu)}}(\xi) \widehat{\psi}(\xi)$, $W_k(x) = 2^{kn} W(2^k x)$, and $V_j^{(\mu)}(x) = 2^{jn} V^{(\mu)}(2^j x)$. Here $c_{\alpha, \mu}$ are binomial coefficients, and $C_\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) x^\mu dx$. By Lemma 5.1, it follows that

$$T_{V^{(\mu)}} f(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j^{(\mu)} * f(x)$$

defines an operator that is bounded on BMO . Then

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{|\alpha|(k-j)} Q_k Q_j^{(\mu)} Q_j \beta(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} W_k * V_j^{(\mu)} * \beta(x) = W_k * (T_{V^{(\mu)}} \beta)(x),$$

and we have the following

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_Q \sum_{2^{-k} \leq \ell(Q)} 2^{2|\alpha|k} |\langle [[\Pi_\beta]]_\alpha, \psi_k^x \rangle|^2 &= \int_Q \sum_{2^{-k} \leq \ell(Q)} \left| \sum_{\mu \leq \alpha} c_{\alpha, \mu} C_{\alpha-\mu} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{|\alpha|(k-j)} Q_k Q_j^{(\mu)} Q_j \beta(x) \right|^2 \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\mu \leq \alpha} |c_{\alpha, \mu} C_{\alpha-\mu}|^2 \int_Q \sum_{2^{-k} \leq \ell(Q)} |W_k * (T_{V^{(\mu)}} \beta)(x)|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $|\widehat{W}(\xi)| \lesssim \min(|\xi|, |\xi|^{-1})$ as well, and since $T_{V(\mu)}\beta \in BMO$ with $\|T_{V(\mu)}\beta\| \lesssim \|\beta\|_{BMO}$, it also follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Q}|} \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \sum_{2^{-k} \leq \ell(\mathcal{Q})} 2^{2|\alpha|k} |\langle [\Pi_\beta]_\alpha, \psi_k^x \rangle|^2 &\lesssim \sum_{\mu \leq \alpha} |c_{\alpha, \mu} C_{\alpha - \mu}|^2 \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \sum_{2^{-k} \leq \ell(\mathcal{Q})} |W_k * (T_{V(\mu)}\beta)(x)|^2 \\ &\lesssim \|T_{V(\omega)}\beta\|_{BMO}^2 \lesssim \|\beta\|_{BMO}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $[\Pi_\beta]_\alpha \in BMO_{|\alpha|}$ for $|\alpha| \leq L$, and by Theorem 1.6 it follows that Π_β is bounded on H^p for all $\frac{n}{n+L+\delta} < p \leq 1$, where $L = \lfloor M/2 \rfloor$ and $\delta = (M - 2L + 1)/2$. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6

Finally, we return to the proof of Theorem 1.6. We have waited to this point to do so since we will need both Theorem 1.3 and the Bony paraproduct construction in Theorem 1.5.

We need one other result from [23, 13, 11]; we state Theorem 3.13 from [13] adapted to our notation and restricted to the Hardy space setting.

Theorem 6.1 ([13]). *Let $T \in CZO(M + \gamma)$ be bounded on L^2 and define $L = \lfloor M/2 \rfloor$ and $\delta = (M - 2L + \gamma)/2$. If $T^*(x^\alpha) = 0$ in \mathcal{D}'_M for all $|\alpha| \leq L$ and $T1 = 0$ in \mathcal{D}_0 , then T is bounded on H^p for all $\frac{n}{n+L+\delta} < p \leq 1$.*

In the notation of [13], this theorem is stated with $q = 2$, $0 < p \leq 1$, $J = n/p$, $L = \lfloor J - n \rfloor = \lfloor n/p - n \rfloor$, $\alpha = 0$, and $H^p = \dot{F}_p^{0,2}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let $T \in CZO(M + \gamma)$ be bounded on L^2 and define $L = \lfloor M/2 \rfloor$ and $\delta = (M - 2L + \gamma)/2$. Assume that $T^*(x^\alpha) = 0$ in \mathcal{D}'_M for all $|\alpha| \leq L$. Then $T1 \in BMO$, and by Theorem 1.5 there exists $\Pi \in CZO(M + 1)$ such that $\Pi(1) = T(1)$, $\Pi^*(y^\alpha) = 0$ for $|\alpha| \leq M$, and Π is bounded on H^p for all $\frac{n}{n+L+1} < p \leq 1$. Then $T = S + \Pi$, where $S = T - \Pi$. Noting that $S^*(y^\alpha) = 0$ for all $|\alpha| \leq L$ and $S1 = 0$, by Theorem 6 it follows that S is bounded on H^p for all $\frac{n}{n+L+\delta} < p \leq 1$. Therefore T is bounded on H^p for all $\frac{n}{n+L+\delta} < p \leq 1$.

Now assume that T is bounded on H^p for all $\frac{n}{n+L+\delta} < p \leq 1$. For $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_L$, it follows that $T\psi \in H^p \cap L^2$ for all $\frac{n}{n+L+\delta} < p \leq 1$. It is not hard to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} T\psi(x) x^\alpha dx$$

is an absolutely convergent integral for any $|\alpha| < \sup\{n/p - n : \frac{n}{n+L+\delta} < p \leq 1\} = L + \delta$. By Theorem 7 in [14], it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} T\psi(x) x^\alpha dx = 0$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $|\alpha| < L + \delta$. Since $\delta > 0$, this verifies that $T^*(y^\alpha) = 0$ for all $|\alpha| \leq L$. \square

REFERENCES

1. J. Alvarez and M. Milman, H^p continuity properties of Calderón-Zygmund-type operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **118**, no. 1, (1986), 63-79.
2. Á. Bényi, D. Maldonado, and V. Naibo, *What is . . . a paraproduct?*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **57**, (2010), no. 7, 858-860.

3. J. M. Bony, *Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., **14**, (1981), no. 2, 109-246.
4. H.-Q. Bui, M. Paluszyński, and M. Taibleson, *A note on the Besov-Lipschitz and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces*, Comtemp. Math. **189**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
5. H.-Q. Bui, M. Paluszyński, and M. Taibleson, *Characterization of the Besov-Lipschitz and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. The case $q < 1$* , J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **3**, (1997), Special Issue, 837-846.
6. L. Carleson, *An interpolation problem for bounded analytic functions*, Amer. J. Math. **80**, (1958), 921-930.
7. M. Christ and J. L. Journé, *Polynomial growth estimates for multilinear singular integral operators*, Acta Math. **159**, (1987), no. 1-2, 51-80.
8. G. David and J. L. Journé, *A boundedness criterion for generalized Calderón-Zygmund operators*, A.. of Math. (2), **120**, (1984), no. 2, 371-397.
9. G. David, J. L. Journé, and S. Semmes, *Opérateurs de Calderón-Zygmund, fonctions para-accrétives et interpolation*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **1**, (1985), no. 4, 1-56.
10. C. Fefferman and E. Stein, *H^p spaces of several variables*, Acta Math., **129**, (1972), no. 3-4, 137-193.
11. M. Frazier, Y.S. Han, B. Jawerth, and G. Weiss, *The $T1$ theorem for Triebel-Lizorkin spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1384, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
12. M. Frazier and B. Jawerth, *Decomposition of Besov spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **34**, (1985), no. 4, 777-799.
13. M. Frazier, R. H. Torres, and G. Weiss, *The boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund operators on the spaces $\dot{F}_p^{\alpha,q}$* , Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **4**, (1988), no. 1, 41-72.
14. L. Grafakos and D. He, *Weak Hardy Spaces*, to appear in a special volume in honor of Professor Shanzhen Lu.
15. L. Grafakos and N. Kalton, *The Marcinkiewicz multiplier condition for bilinear operators*, Studia Math. **146**, (2001), no. 2, 115-156.
16. Y. Han and G. Lu, *Some recent works on multiparameter Hardy space theory and discrete Littlewood-Paley-Stein analysis*, Trends in partial differential equations, 99-191, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), **10**, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2010.
17. P. Jones, *Square functions, Cauchy integrals, analytic capacity, and harmonic measure*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1384, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
18. G. Lu and Y. Zhu, *Bounds of singular integrals on weighted Hardy spaces and discrete Littlewood-Paley analysis*, J. Geom. Anal. **22**, (2012), no. 3, 666-684.
19. S. Semmes, *Square function estimates and the $T(b)$ theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **110**, no. 3, (1990), 721-726.
20. E. Stein, *On the functions of Littlewood-Paley-Stein; Lusin, and Marcinkiewicz*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **88**, (1958), 430-466.
21. E. Stein, *On the theory of harmonic functions of several variables. II. Behavior near the boundary*, Acta Math. **106**, (1961), 137-174.
22. E. Stein and G. Weiss, *On the theory of harmonic functions of several variables. I. The theory of H^p -spaces*, Acta Math. **103**, (1960), 25-62.
23. R. H. Torres, *Boundedness results for operators with singular kernels on distribution spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **90**, (1991), 442.
24. A. Youssfi, *Bilinear operators and the Jacobian-determinant on Besov spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **45**, (1996), 381-396.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY, DETROIT, MI 48202
 E-mail address: jarod.hart@wayne.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY, DETROIT, MI 48202
 E-mail address: gzlu@wayne.edu